On invariant calculi for parabolic geometries

Jan Slovák

Masaryk University, Brno, Czech Republic joint work with Andreas Čap

7 September, 2022

Structure



- 2 Parabolic Geometries and Weyl connections
 - Cartan connections as analogies to affine geometry on manifolds
 - Bundle of Weyl structures, Weyl connections, and Rho-tensors
 - The normalization



Structure

1 Bibliography

2 Parabolic Geometries and Weyl connections

- Cartan connections as analogies to affine geometry on manifolds
- Bundle of Weyl structures, Weyl connections, and Rho-tensors
- The normalization



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

 P. Gauduchon, Structures de Weyl-Einstein, espaces de twisteurs et variétés de type S¹ × S³, J. Reine Angew. Math. 469 (1995), 1–50.



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

 P. Gauduchon, Structures de Weyl-Einstein, espaces de twisteurs et variétés de type S¹ × S³, J. Reine Angew. Math. 469 (1995), 1–50.



- P. Gauduchon, Structures de Weyl-Einstein, espaces de twisteurs et variétés de type S¹ × S³, J. Reine Angew. Math. 469 (1995), 1–50.
- A. Čap, J. Slovák, V. Souček, *Invariant operators on manifolds with AHS structures*, I. Invariant differentiation, II. Normal Cartan connections, Acta Math. Univ. Com. **66** (1997), 33-69; **66** (1997), 203-220; III. Standard Operators, Diff. Geom. Appl. **12** (2000) p. 51-84.; *Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand sequences*. Annals of Mathematics, Princeton University: The Johns Hopkins University Press, 2001, **154**, No 1, p. 97-113.



- P. Gauduchon, Structures de Weyl-Einstein, espaces de twisteurs et variétés de type S¹ × S³, J. Reine Angew. Math. 469 (1995), 1–50.
- A. Čap, J. Slovák, V. Souček, *Invariant operators on manifolds with AHS structures*, I. Invariant differentiation, II. Normal Cartan connections, Acta Math. Univ. Com. 66 (1997), 33-69; 66 (1997), 203-220; III. Standard Operators, Diff. Geom. Appl. 12 (2000) p. 51-84.; *Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand sequences*. Annals of Mathematics, Princeton University: The Johns Hopkins University Press, 2001, 154, No 1, p. 97-113.
- M.G. Eastwood, J. Slovák, Semi-holonomic Verma modules, J. of Algebra 197 (1997), 424–448

- P. Gauduchon, Structures de Weyl-Einstein, espaces de twisteurs et variétés de type S¹ × S³, J. Reine Angew. Math. 469 (1995), 1–50.
- A. Čap, J. Slovák, V. Souček, *Invariant operators on manifolds with AHS structures*, I. Invariant differentiation, II. Normal Cartan connections, Acta Math. Univ. Com. **66** (1997), 33-69; **66** (1997), 203-220; III. Standard Operators, Diff. Geom. Appl. **12** (2000) p. 51-84.; *Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand sequences.* Annals of Mathematics, Princeton University: The Johns Hopkins University Press, 2001, **154**, No 1, p. 97-113.
- M.G. Eastwood, J. Slovák, Semi-holonomic Verma modules, J. of Algebra 197 (1997), 424–448
- A. Cap, J. Slovák, Parabolic geometries, I. Background and general theory, American Mathematical Society, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 154 (2009), 628 pp.

• and many more

- P. Gauduchon, Structures de Weyl-Einstein, espaces de twisteurs et variétés de type S¹ × S³, J. Reine Angew. Math. 469 (1995), 1–50.
- A. Čap, J. Slovák, V. Souček, *Invariant operators on manifolds with AHS structures*, I. Invariant differentiation, II. Normal Cartan connections, Acta Math. Univ. Com. **66** (1997), 33-69; **66** (1997), 203-220; III. Standard Operators, Diff. Geom. Appl. **12** (2000) p. 51-84.; *Bernstein-Gelfand-Gelfand sequences.* Annals of Mathematics, Princeton University: The Johns Hopkins University Press, 2001, **154**, No 1, p. 97-113.
- M.G. Eastwood, J. Slovák, Semi-holonomic Verma modules, J. of Algebra 197 (1997), 424–448
- A. Cap, J. Slovák, Parabolic geometries, I. Background and general theory, American Mathematical Society, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 154 (2009), 628 pp.
- A. Čap, T. Mettler, Geometric theory of Weyl structures. Communications in Contemporary Mathematics, 2022-05-20.
- and many more

Structure

Bibliography

- 2 Parabolic Geometries and Weyl connections
 - Cartan connections as analogies to affine geometry on manifolds
 - Bundle of Weyl structures, Weyl connections, and Rho-tensors
 - The normalization



Conformal Riemannian and projective structures

Conformal structures

Conformal Riemannian manifolds (M, [g]) can be viewed as finite type G-structures with the structure group CSO(p, q) $p + q = n = \dim M$, and the Cartan approach yields the prolongation $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus (\mathfrak{cso}(p, q) \oplus \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n*} = \mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$. The Levi-Civita connections from [g] extend to the Weyl connections parametrized by one-forms on M.

Conformal Riemannian and projective structures

Conformal structures

Conformal Riemannian manifolds (M, [g]) can be viewed as finite type G-structures with the structure group CSO(p, q) $p + q = n = \dim M$, and the Cartan approach yields the prolongation $\mathbb{R}^n \oplus (\mathfrak{cso}(p, q) \oplus \mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathbb{R}^{n*} = \mathfrak{g}_- \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$. The Levi-Civita connections from [g] extend to the Weyl connections parametrized by one-forms on M.

Projective structures

A projective structure on M is a class of all connections $[\gamma]$ sharing their geodesics. The projective structures are second order G-structure since $\mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{gl}(n, \mathbb{R})$ in this case. Again, as well known, given one linear connection γ , the class $[\gamma]$ is parametrized by one-forms on M.

(日)、

э

Cartan connection

Definition

Cartan geometries of type G/P are deformations of the homogeneous space $G \to G/P$ with the Maurer–Cartan form $\omega \in \Omega^1(G; \mathfrak{g})$: absolute parallelism $\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{g})$ on a principal fiber bundle $\mathcal{G} \to M$ with structure group P, enjoying suitable invariance

properties with respect to the principal action of *P*:

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト

= nan

Cartan connection

Definition

Cartan geometries of type G/P are deformations of the homogeneous space $G \to G/P$ with the Maurer–Cartan form $\omega \in \Omega^1(G; \mathfrak{g})$: absolute parallelism $\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{g})$ on a principal fiber bundle

 $\mathcal{G} \to M$ with structure group P, enjoying suitable invariance properties with respect to the principal action of P:

ω(ζ_X)(u) = X for all X ∈ p, u ∈ G (the connection reproduces the fundamental vertical fields)

Cartan connection

Definition

Cartan geometries of type G/P are deformations of the homogeneous space $G \to G/P$ with the Maurer-Cartan form $\omega \in \Omega^1(G; \mathfrak{g})$: absolute parallelism $\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{g})$ on a principal fiber bundle

absolute parallelism $\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{g})$ on a principal fiber bundle $\mathcal{G} \to M$ with structure group P, enjoying suitable invariance properties with respect to the principal action of P:

- ω(ζ_X)(u) = X for all X ∈ p, u ∈ G (the connection reproduces the fundamental vertical fields)
- (r^b)^{*}ω = Ad(g⁻¹) ∘ ω (the connection form is equivariant with respect to the principal action)

Cartan connection

Definition

Cartan geometries of type G/P are deformations of the homogeneous space $G \to G/P$ with the Maurer–Cartan form $\omega \in \Omega^1(G; \mathfrak{g})$: absolute parallelism $\omega \in \Omega^1(G, \mathfrak{g})$ on a principal fiber bundle

absolute parallelism $\omega \in \Omega^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{g})$ on a principal fiber bundle $\mathcal{G} \to M$ with structure group P, enjoying suitable invariance properties with respect to the principal action of P:

- ω(ζ_X)(u) = X for all X ∈ p, u ∈ G (the connection reproduces the fundamental vertical fields)
- (r^b)^{*}ω = Ad(g⁻¹) ∘ ω (the connection form is equivariant with respect to the principal action)
- ω_{|TuG}: TuG → g is a linear isomorphism for all u ∈ G (the absolute parallelism condition).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Curvature

Definition

The structure equations $K = d\omega + \frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega]$ define the curvature K of the Cartan connection ω .

Curvature

Definition

The structure equations $K = d\omega + \frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega]$ define the curvature K of the Cartan connection ω .

• ω defines constant vector fields $\omega^{-1}(X)$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Curvature

Definition

The structure equations $K = d\omega + \frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega]$ define the curvature K of the Cartan connection ω .

• ω defines constant vector fields $\omega^{-1}(X)$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.

•
$$Tr^{g} \cdot \omega^{-1}(X)(u) = \omega^{-1}(\operatorname{Ad}_{g^{-1}} \cdot X)(u \cdot g).$$

Curvature

Definition

The structure equations $K = d\omega + \frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega]$ define the curvature K of the Cartan connection ω .

- ω defines constant vector fields $\omega^{-1}(X)$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.
- $Tr^g \cdot \omega^{-1}(X)(u) = \omega^{-1}(\operatorname{Ad}_{g^{-1}} \cdot X)(u \cdot g).$
- Curvature function

$$\kappa(u)(X,Y) = K(\omega^{-1}(X)(u), \omega^{-1}(Y)(u))$$

= [X,Y] - \omega(u)([\omega^{-1}(X), \omega^{-1}(Y)]).

Curvature

Definition

The structure equations $K = d\omega + \frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega]$ define the curvature K of the Cartan connection ω .

- ω defines constant vector fields $\omega^{-1}(X)$, $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.
- $Tr^{g} \cdot \omega^{-1}(X)(u) = \omega^{-1}(\operatorname{Ad}_{g^{-1}} \cdot X)(u \cdot g).$
- Curvature function

$$\kappa(u)(X,Y) = K(\omega^{-1}(X)(u), \omega^{-1}(Y)(u))$$

= $[X,Y] - \omega(u)([\omega^{-1}(X), \omega^{-1}(Y)]).$

• Curvature is a horizontal 2-form.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Parabolic geometries

Definition

Parabolic geometries are Cartan connections with the choice of parabolic P in semisimple real G.

Morphisms of the parabolic geometries are principal fiber bundle morphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}'$ with $\varphi^*(\omega') = \omega$.

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Parabolic geometries

Definition

Parabolic geometries are Cartan connections with the choice of parabolic P in semisimple real G. Morphisms of the parabolic geometries are principal fiber bundle morphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}'$ with $\varphi^*(\omega') = \omega$.

Fixed grading g = g_{-k} ⊕ ... g₋₁ ⊕ g₀ ⊕ g₁ ⊕ ··· ⊕ g_k on Lie algebra g, p = g₀ ⊕ g₁ ⊕ ··· ⊕ g_k, g₀ is the reductive part in p,

Parabolic geometries

Definition

Parabolic geometries are Cartan connections with the choice of parabolic P in semisimple real G. Morphisms of the parabolic geometries are principal fiber bundle morphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}'$ with $\varphi^*(\omega') = \omega$.

- Fixed grading $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-k} \oplus \ldots \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k$ on Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k$, \mathfrak{g}_0 is the reductive part in \mathfrak{p} ,
- also write P₊ = exp p₊ and G₋ = exp g₋ for the corresponding nilpotent subgroups.

Parabolic geometries

Definition

Parabolic geometries are Cartan connections with the choice of parabolic P in semisimple real G. Morphisms of the parabolic geometries are principal fiber bundle morphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}'$ with $\varphi^*(\omega') = \omega$.

- Fixed grading $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-k} \oplus \ldots \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k$ on Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k$, \mathfrak{g}_0 is the reductive part in \mathfrak{p} ,
- also write P₊ = exp p₊ and G₋ = exp g₋ for the corresponding nilpotent subgroups.
- Unique decomposition $g = g_0 \cdot \exp \Upsilon_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \exp \Upsilon_k$, $g \in P$, $g_0 \in G_0$, and $\Upsilon_i \in \mathfrak{g}_i$.

Parabolic geometries

Definition

Parabolic geometries are Cartan connections with the choice of parabolic P in semisimple real G. Morphisms of the parabolic geometries are principal fiber bundle morphisms $\varphi : \mathcal{G} \to \mathcal{G}'$ with $\varphi^*(\omega') = \omega$.

- Fixed grading $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-k} \oplus \ldots \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k$ on Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k$, \mathfrak{g}_0 is the reductive part in \mathfrak{p} ,
- also write P₊ = exp p₊ and G₋ = exp g₋ for the corresponding nilpotent subgroups.
- Unique decomposition $g = g_0 \cdot \exp \Upsilon_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot \exp \Upsilon_k$, $g \in P$, $g_0 \in G_0$, and $\Upsilon_i \in \mathfrak{g}_i$.
- Grading element is the unique E ∈ g₀ with ad E_{|gi} = i · id_{gi} for all i = -k,..., k.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Weyl structures

Definition

Weyl structures

Definition

•
$$\theta^{s} = \bar{s}^{*}\omega_{-} = \theta_{-k} + \dots + \theta_{-1} : T\mathcal{G}_{0} \to \mathfrak{g}_{-k} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$$

Weyl structures

Definition

•
$$\theta^{s} = \bar{s}^{*}\omega_{-} = \theta_{-k} + \dots + \theta_{-1} : T\mathcal{G}_{0} \to \mathfrak{g}_{-k} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$$

• $\gamma^{s} = \bar{s}^{*}\omega_{0} : T\mathcal{G}_{0} \to \mathfrak{g}_{0}$

Weyl structures

Definition

•
$$\theta^s = \overline{s}^* \omega_- = \theta_{-k} + \dots + \theta_{-1} : T\mathcal{G}_0 \to \mathfrak{g}_{-k} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$$

•
$$\gamma^{s} = \bar{s}^{*}\omega_{0} : T\mathcal{G}_{0} \to \mathfrak{g}_{0}$$

•
$$\mathsf{P}^s = \bar{s}^* \omega_+ = \mathsf{P}_1 + \cdots + \mathsf{P}_k : T\mathcal{G}_0 \to \mathfrak{p}_+ = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k.$$

Definition

Weyl structure on a parabolic geometry (\mathcal{G}, ω) is a G_0 equivariant section $\overline{s} : \mathcal{G}_0 = \mathcal{G}/P_+ \to \mathcal{G}$, i.e., reduction of the parabolic structure group P to its reductive part G_0 .

•
$$\theta^s = \bar{s}^* \omega_- = \theta_{-k} + \dots + \theta_{-1} : T\mathcal{G}_0 \to \mathfrak{g}_{-k} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$$

•
$$\gamma^{s} = \bar{s}^{*}\omega_{0} : T\mathcal{G}_{0} \to \mathfrak{g}_{0}$$

•
$$\mathsf{P}^s = \bar{s}^* \omega_+ = \mathsf{P}_1 + \cdots + \mathsf{P}_k : T\mathcal{G}_0 \to \mathfrak{p}_+ = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k.$$

On the underlying manifold M we obtain the filtration on TM, and:

Definition

(

Weyl structure on a parabolic geometry (\mathcal{G}, ω) is a G_0 equivariant section $\overline{s} : \mathcal{G}_0 = \mathcal{G}/P_+ \to \mathcal{G}$, i.e., reduction of the parabolic structure group P to its reductive part G_0 .

•
$$\theta^s = \overline{s}^* \omega_- = \theta_{-k} + \dots + \theta_{-1} : T\mathcal{G}_0 \to \mathfrak{g}_{-k} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$$

•
$$\gamma^s = \bar{s}^* \omega_0 : T\mathcal{G}_0 \to \mathfrak{g}_0$$

•
$$\mathsf{P}^s = \bar{s}^* \omega_+ = \mathsf{P}_1 + \cdots + \mathsf{P}_k : T\mathcal{G}_0 \to \mathfrak{p}_+ = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k.$$

On the underlying manifold M we obtain the filtration on TM, and:

• θ is a soldering form for *M* (identifying also *TM* \simeq gr *TM*)

Definition

(

Weyl structure on a parabolic geometry (\mathcal{G}, ω) is a G_0 equivariant section $\overline{s} : \mathcal{G}_0 = \mathcal{G}/P_+ \to \mathcal{G}$, i.e., reduction of the parabolic structure group P to its reductive part G_0 .

•
$$\theta^{s} = \bar{s}^{*}\omega_{-} = \theta_{-k} + \dots + \theta_{-1} : T\mathcal{G}_{0} \to \mathfrak{g}_{-k} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$$

•
$$\gamma^{s} = \bar{s}^{*}\omega_{0} : T\mathcal{G}_{0} \to \mathfrak{g}_{0}$$

•
$$\mathsf{P}^s = \bar{s}^* \omega_+ = \mathsf{P}_1 + \cdots + \mathsf{P}_k : T\mathcal{G}_0 \to \mathfrak{p}_+ = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k.$$

On the underlying manifold M we obtain the filtration on TM, and:

- θ is a soldering form for *M* (identifying also *TM* \simeq gr *TM*)
- γ is a linear connection form on $\textit{M},\,\theta+\gamma$ is the affine Weyl connection

Definition

(

Weyl structure on a parabolic geometry (\mathcal{G}, ω) is a G_0 equivariant section $\overline{s} : \mathcal{G}_0 = \mathcal{G}/P_+ \to \mathcal{G}$, i.e., reduction of the parabolic structure group P to its reductive part G_0 .

•
$$\theta^{s} = \bar{s}^{*}\omega_{-} = \theta_{-k} + \dots + \theta_{-1} : T\mathcal{G}_{0} \to \mathfrak{g}_{-k} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{-1}$$

•
$$\gamma^{s} = \bar{s}^{*}\omega_{0} : T\mathcal{G}_{0} \to \mathfrak{g}_{0}$$

•
$$\mathsf{P}^s = \bar{s}^* \omega_+ = \mathsf{P}_1 + \cdots + \mathsf{P}_k : T\mathcal{G}_0 \to \mathfrak{p}_+ = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_k.$$

On the underlying manifold M we obtain the filtration on TM, and:

- θ is a soldering form for *M* (identifying also *TM* \simeq gr *TM*)
- γ is a linear connection form on $\textit{M},\,\theta+\gamma$ is the affine Weyl connection
- P is a one-form on M valued in T*M (measuring the difference between ω and θ + γ on the image s̄(G₀)).

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Natural bundles and tractor bundles

Natural bundles

 $P-\text{representation }\lambda$ on a vector space $\mathbb V$ provides the homogeneous vector bundle $G\times_P\mathbb V$ and, more generally, the associated vector bundles

$$\mathcal{V}M = \mathcal{G} \times_P \mathbb{V}$$

with standard fiber \mathbb{V} over all manifolds with a parabolic geometry of the type G/P. These are the *natural bundles* \mathcal{V} .

Natural bundles and tractor bundles

Natural bundles

 $P-\text{representation }\lambda$ on a vector space $\mathbb V$ provides the homogeneous vector bundle $G\times_P\mathbb V$ and, more generally, the associated vector bundles

$$\mathcal{V}M = \mathcal{G} \times_P \mathbb{V}$$

with standard fiber \mathbb{V} over all manifolds with a parabolic geometry of the type G/P. These are the *natural bundles* \mathcal{V} .

Tractor bundles

G-modules \mathbb{V} define the *tractor bundles* $\mathcal{V}M$, and ω provides induced linear connections on them.
Natural bundles and tractor bundles

Natural bundles

 $P-\text{representation }\lambda$ on a vector space $\mathbb V$ provides the homogeneous vector bundle $G\times_P\mathbb V$ and, more generally, the associated vector bundles

$$\mathcal{V}M = \mathcal{G} \times_P \mathbb{V}$$

with standard fiber \mathbb{V} over all manifolds with a parabolic geometry of the type G/P. These are the *natural bundles* \mathcal{V} .

Tractor bundles

G-modules \mathbb{V} define the *tractor bundles* $\mathcal{V}M$, and ω provides induced linear connections on them.

The adjoint representation \mathcal{G} provides the *adjoint tractor bundles* \mathcal{A} , the standard representation of a matrix group on \mathbb{R}^n provides the *standard tractors* \mathcal{T} . The curvature function is identified with $\mathcal{A}M$ -valued 2-form on M.

Nearly invariant calculus 0000000

The bundle of Weyl structures

Reductions of \mathcal{G} to the structure group G_0 are equivalent to sections s of the bundle $A = \mathcal{G}/G_0 = \mathcal{G} \times_P P/G_0$, and this is an affine bundle modelled over 1-forms. All P-modules can be viewed as G_0 -modules, thus $\Gamma(\mathcal{V}M) \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{V}A)$.



The bundle of Weyl structures

Reductions of \mathcal{G} to the structure group G_0 are equivalent to sections s of the bundle $A = \mathcal{G}/G_0 = \mathcal{G} \times_P P/G_0$, and this is an affine bundle modelled over 1-forms. All P-modules can be viewed as G_0 -modules, thus $\Gamma(\mathcal{V}M) \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{V}A)$.



Now, $\omega = (\omega_{-} + \omega_{+}) + \omega_{0}$ is an affine connection on A! (noticed in the recent paper by Čap and Mettler).

Nearly invariant calculus 0000000

The bundle of Weyl structures

Reductions of \mathcal{G} to the structure group G_0 are equivalent to sections s of the bundle $A = \mathcal{G}/G_0 = \mathcal{G} \times_P P/G_0$, and this is an affine bundle modelled over 1-forms. All P-modules can be viewed as G_0 -modules, thus $\Gamma(\mathcal{V}M) \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{V}A)$.



Now, $\omega = (\omega_{-} + \omega_{+}) + \omega_{0}$ is an affine connection on A! (noticed in the recent paper by Čap and Mettler). Moreover, $TA = L_{-} + L_{+}$ and there is P, the projection to $L^{+} = \ker \pi_{*}$ along L_{-} , the torsion T + Y and curvature W. We write $s^{*}P = P^{s}_{-}$

Nearly invariant calculus 0000000

The bundle of Weyl structures

Operators $\Phi : \Gamma(\mathcal{V}A) \to \mathcal{W}A$ in terms of the linear connection ω on A can be restricted to section from $\Gamma(\mathcal{V}M)$ and expressed in terms of the Weyl connections:



990

Nearly invariant calculus 0000000

The bundle of Weyl structures

Operators $\Phi : \Gamma(\mathcal{V}A) \to \mathcal{W}A$ in terms of the linear connection ω on A can be restricted to section from $\Gamma(\mathcal{V}M)$ and expressed in terms of the Weyl connections:



▲ロト ▲圖ト ▲画ト ▲画ト 三直 - のへで

Nearly invariant calculus 0000000

The bundle of Weyl structures

Operators $\Phi : \Gamma(\mathcal{V}A) \to \mathcal{W}A$ in terms of the linear connection ω on A can be restricted to section from $\Gamma(\mathcal{V}M)$ and expressed in terms of the Weyl connections:



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ○□ のへで

Nearly invariant calculus 0000000

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The expansion

Restricting to section σ in $\Gamma(\mathcal{V}M) \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{V}A)$, clearly the derivative in the vertical direction will be the algebraic action, while the difference between $\nabla^s \sigma$ and $\nabla^\omega \sigma$ comes from the algebraic action of P^s via the P_+ -action on \mathbb{V} . We introduce the *Rho-corrected derivative* $\nabla^{\mathsf{P}^s} = \nabla^s + \mathsf{P}^s$. Actually, ∇^{P^s} is the pullback of ∇^ω restricted to $TM \simeq L_- \subset TA$.

The expansion

Restricting to section σ in $\Gamma(\mathcal{V}M) \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{V}A)$, clearly the derivative in the vertical direction will be the algebraic action, while the difference between $\nabla^s \sigma$ and $\nabla^\omega \sigma$ comes from the algebraic action of P^s via the P_+ -action on \mathbb{V} . We introduce the *Rho-corrected derivative* $\nabla^{\mathsf{P}^s} = \nabla^s + \mathsf{P}^s$. Actually, ∇^{P^s} is the pullback of ∇^ω restricted to $TM \simeq L_- \subset TA$.

Theorem (1)

For each operator $\Phi : \Gamma(\mathcal{V}M) \to \Gamma(\mathcal{W}A)$ given in term of the affine connection ω on A, there is a universal formula for the operator Φ^s expressed in terms of the Weyl connection $\theta^s + \gamma^s$, the curvature of ω and P^s .

The expansion

Restricting to section σ in $\Gamma(\mathcal{V}M) \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{V}A)$, clearly the derivative in the vertical direction will be the algebraic action, while the difference between $\nabla^s \sigma$ and $\nabla^\omega \sigma$ comes from the algebraic action of P^s via the P_+ -action on \mathbb{V} . We introduce the *Rho-corrected derivative* $\nabla^{\mathsf{P}^s} = \nabla^s + \mathsf{P}^s$. Actually, ∇^{P^s} is the pullback of ∇^ω restricted to $TM \simeq L_- \subset TA$.

Theorem (1)

For each operator $\Phi : \Gamma(\mathcal{V}M) \to \Gamma(\mathcal{W}A)$ given in term of the affine connection ω on A, there is a universal formula for the operator Φ^s expressed in terms of the Weyl connection $\theta^s + \gamma^s$, the curvature of ω and P^s .

Example: \mathbb{V} irreducible (\mathfrak{p}_+ acts trivially, the covariant derivative written by means of the constant vector fields on \mathcal{G}):

The expansion

Restricting to section σ in $\Gamma(\mathcal{V}M) \subset \Gamma(\mathcal{V}A)$, clearly the derivative in the vertical direction will be the algebraic action, while the difference between $\nabla^s \sigma$ and $\nabla^\omega \sigma$ comes from the algebraic action of P^s via the P_+ -action on \mathbb{V} . We introduce the *Rho-corrected* derivative $\nabla^{\mathsf{P}^s} = \nabla^s + \mathsf{P}^s$. Actually, ∇^{P^s} is the pullback of ∇^{ω} restricted to $TM \simeq L_{-} \subset TA$.

Theorem (1)

For each operator $\Phi: \Gamma(\mathcal{V}M) \to \Gamma(\mathcal{W}A)$ given in term of the affine connection ω on A, there is a universal formula for the operator Φ^s expressed in terms of the Weyl connection $\theta^{s} + \gamma^{s}$, the curvature of ω and P^s .

Example: \mathbb{V} irreducible (\mathfrak{p}_+ acts trivially, the covariant derivative written by means of the constant vector fields on \mathcal{G}): $(\nabla^{\omega})^{2}\tilde{\sigma}(X,Y) = (\nabla^{s})^{2}\tilde{\sigma}(X,Y) + \nabla^{s}_{[Y,\mathsf{P}^{s}X]_{\mathfrak{g}}} \tilde{\sigma} - [Y,\mathsf{P}^{s}X]_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot \tilde{\sigma}.$

Nearly invariant calculus 0000000

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Transformations

Mimic the approach from projective or conformal geometries and view the invariants as those in terms ∇^s not depending on choice.

Nearly invariant calculus 0000000

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ

Transformations

Mimic the approach from projective or conformal geometries and view the invariants as those in terms ∇^s not depending on choice. Thus we want to now, how our basic objects change if we change the Weyl structure $\hat{s} = s \cdot \exp \Upsilon$, $\Upsilon : \mathcal{G} \to \mathfrak{p}_+$ is a 1-form.

Transformations

Mimic the approach from projective or conformal geometries and view the invariants as those in terms ∇^s not depending on choice. Thus we want to now, how our basic objects change if we change the Weyl structure $\hat{s} = s \cdot \exp \Upsilon$, $\Upsilon : \mathcal{G} \to \mathfrak{p}_+$ is a 1-form.

Change of Weyl connections and gradings

If $\hat{s} = s \cdot \exp \Upsilon$, and $\sigma = \sigma_1 + \cdots + \sigma_\ell$ is a section of a natural bundle (corresponding the representation λ), then:

•
$$\hat{\sigma}_{\ell} = \sum_{\|\underline{i}\|+j=\ell} \frac{(-1)^{\underline{i}}}{\underline{i}!} \lambda(\Upsilon_k)^{i_k} \circ \ldots \circ \lambda(\Upsilon_1)^{i_1} \circ \sigma_j$$

• $\hat{\nabla}_{\xi}^{\mathbf{P}^{\hat{s}}} \sigma = \nabla_{\xi}^{\mathbf{P}^{\hat{s}}} \sigma + \sum_{\|\underline{i}\|+j\geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{\underline{i}}}{\underline{i}!} (\operatorname{ad}(\Upsilon_k)^{i_k} \circ \ldots \circ \operatorname{ad}(\Upsilon_1)^{i_1}(\xi_j)) \bullet \sigma$

Transformations

Mimic the approach from projective or conformal geometries and view the invariants as those in terms ∇^s not depending on choice. Thus we want to now, how our basic objects change if we change the Weyl structure $\hat{s} = s \cdot \exp \Upsilon$, $\Upsilon : \mathcal{G} \to \mathfrak{p}_+$ is a 1-form.

Change of Weyl connections and gradings

If $\hat{s} = s \cdot \exp \Upsilon$, and $\sigma = \sigma_1 + \cdots + \sigma_\ell$ is a section of a natural bundle (corresponding the representation λ), then:

•
$$\hat{\sigma}_{\ell} = \sum_{\|\underline{i}\|+j=\ell} \frac{(-1)^{\underline{i}}}{\underline{i}!} \lambda(\Upsilon_{k})^{i_{k}} \circ \ldots \circ \lambda(\Upsilon_{1})^{i_{1}} \circ \sigma_{j}$$

• $\hat{\nabla}_{\xi}^{\mathsf{P}^{s}} \sigma = \nabla_{\xi}^{\mathsf{P}^{s}} \sigma + \sum_{\|\underline{i}\|+j\geq 0} \frac{(-1)^{\underline{i}}}{\underline{i}!} (\operatorname{ad}(\Upsilon_{k})^{i_{k}} \circ \ldots \circ \operatorname{ad}(\Upsilon_{1})^{i_{1}}(\xi_{j})) \bullet \sigma$

Here \underline{i} is a multiindex (i_1, \ldots, i_k) with $i_j \ge 0$. We put $\underline{i}! = i_1! \cdots i_k!$ and $\|\underline{i}\| = i_1 + 2i_2 + \cdots + ki_k$, while $(-1)^{\underline{i}} = (-1)^{i_1 + \cdots + i_k}$

Nearly invariant calculus 0000000

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

Tranformations – continued

Change of Rho-tensors

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathsf{P}}_{i}(\xi) &= \sum_{\substack{\|\underline{j}\| + \ell = i \\ \underline{j}_{1} = \cdots = j_{m-1} = 0 \\ }} \frac{(-1)^{\underline{j}}}{\underline{j}_{k}} \operatorname{ad}(\Upsilon_{k})^{j_{k}} \circ \cdots \circ \operatorname{ad}(\Upsilon_{1})^{j_{1}}(\xi_{\ell}) + \\ &\sum_{\substack{j_{1} = \cdots = j_{m-1} = 0 \\ \underline{j}_{1} = \cdots = j_{m-1} = 0 \\ }} \frac{(-1)^{\underline{j}}}{\underline{j}_{k}!} \operatorname{ad}(\Upsilon_{k})^{j_{k}} \circ \cdots \circ \operatorname{ad}(\Upsilon_{1})^{j_{1}}(\mathsf{P}_{\ell}(\xi)). \end{split}$$

Nearly invariant calculus 0000000

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Tranformations – continued

Change of Rho-tensors

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathsf{P}}_{i}(\xi) &= \sum_{\substack{\|\underline{j}\| + \ell = i \\ \underline{j}_{1} = \cdots = j_{m-1} = 0 \\ }} \frac{(-1)^{\underline{j}}}{\underline{j}_{k}} \operatorname{ad}(\Upsilon_{k})^{j_{k}} \circ \cdots \circ \operatorname{ad}(\Upsilon_{1})^{j_{1}}(\xi_{\ell}) + \\ &\sum_{\substack{j_{1} = \cdots = j_{m-1} = 0 \\ \underline{j}_{1} = \cdots = j_{m-1} = 0 \\ }} \frac{(-1)^{\underline{j}}}{\underline{j}_{k}^{!}} \operatorname{ad}(\Upsilon_{k})^{j_{k}} \circ \cdots \circ \operatorname{ad}(\Upsilon_{1})^{j_{1}}(\mathsf{P}_{\ell}(\xi)). \end{split}$$

Example: |1|-graded $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$, irreducible \mathbb{V} :

$$\hat{
abla}_{\xi}\sigma =
abla_{\xi}\sigma - [\Upsilon, \xi] ullet \sigma$$

 $\hat{\mathsf{P}}(\xi) = \mathsf{P}(\xi) +
abla_{\xi}\Upsilon + rac{1}{2}\operatorname{ad}(\Upsilon)^2(\xi)$

Tranformations – continued

Change of Rho-tensors

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathsf{P}}_{i}(\xi) &= \sum_{\substack{\|\underline{j}\| + \ell = i \\ \underline{j}_{1} = \cdots = j_{m-1} = 0 \\ }} \frac{(-1)^{\underline{j}}}{\underline{j}_{k}} \operatorname{ad}(\Upsilon_{k})^{j_{k}} \circ \cdots \circ \operatorname{ad}(\Upsilon_{1})^{j_{1}}(\xi_{\ell}) + \\ &\sum_{\substack{j_{1} = \cdots = j_{m-1} = 0 \\ \underline{j}_{1} = \cdots = j_{m-1} = 0 \\ }} \frac{(-1)^{\underline{j}}}{\underline{j}_{k}^{!}} \operatorname{ad}(\Upsilon_{k})^{j_{k}} \circ \cdots \circ \operatorname{ad}(\Upsilon_{1})^{j_{1}}(\mathsf{P}_{\ell}(\xi)). \end{split}$$

Example: |1|-graded $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}_{-1} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1$, irreducible \mathbb{V} :

$$\hat{
abla}_{\xi}\sigma =
abla_{\xi}\sigma - [\Upsilon, \xi] ullet \sigma$$

 $\hat{\mathsf{P}}(\xi) = \mathsf{P}(\xi) +
abla_{\xi}\Upsilon + rac{1}{2}\operatorname{\mathsf{ad}}(\Upsilon)^2(\xi)$

Iterating the differentiation, we face derivatives of Υ . Thus, adding "correction terms" based on P looks promising. This was the original motivation for introducing the Schouten's tensor (trace adjusted Ricci) in the conformal geometry nearly 100 years back.

Nearly invariant calculus 0000000

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

The normalization ...

In geometric analysis, we rather look for data defining the geometric structures straight at the manifolds (e.g., filtration).

The normalization ...

In geometric analysis, we rather look for data defining the geometric structures straight at the manifolds (e.g., filtration). In the case of |1|-graded geometries, the parabolic cases represent a subclass of classical *G*-structures. In most parabolic geometries, the complete information is given just by the filtration of *TM*. The freedom in defining the geometries is given by $H^1(\mathfrak{g}_-,\mathfrak{g})$.

The normalization ...

In geometric analysis, we rather look for data defining the geometric structures straight at the manifolds (e.g., filtration). In the case of |1|-graded geometries, the parabolic cases represent a subclass of classical *G*-structures. In most parabolic geometries, the complete information is given just by the filtration of *TM*. The freedom in defining the geometries is given by $H^1(\mathfrak{g}_-,\mathfrak{g})$. Curvature function κ is valued in cochains of $H^2(\mathfrak{g}_-,\mathfrak{g})$, and there is the Hodge theory providing the codifferential ∂^* . The natural normalization condition is $\partial^*\kappa = 0$.

The normalization ...

In geometric analysis, we rather look for data defining the geometric structures straight at the manifolds (e.g., filtration). In the case of |1|-graded geometries, the parabolic cases represent a subclass of classical G-structures. In most parabolic geometries, the complete information is given just by the filtration of TM. The freedom in defining the geometries is given by $H^1(\mathfrak{g}_-,\mathfrak{g})$. Curvature function κ is valued in cochains of $H^2(\mathfrak{g}_-,\mathfrak{g})$, and there is the Hodge theory providing the codifferential ∂^* . The natural normalization condition is $\partial^* \kappa = 0$. For 1-graded cases, the Weyl connections are all connections with the given holonomy and the prescribed torsion T, shared by all of them (e.g., zero in conformal Riemannian or projective), and

choosing one of them, i.e. the Weyl structure s, ω is given by

$$\mathsf{P}^{s} = -\Box^{-1}\partial^{*}R^{s},$$

where R^s is the curvature of the Weyl connection, \mathbb{R}^s , \mathbb

Structure

Bibliography

2 Parabolic Geometries and Weyl connections

- Cartan connections as analogies to affine geometry on manifolds
- Bundle of Weyl structures, Weyl connections, and Rho-tensors
- The normalization



・ロト・西ト・西ト・日・ 日・ シュウ

The derivatives (works for all Cartan connections!)

Invariant derivative

Similarly to covariant derivatives, we define the invariant derivative $\nabla^{\omega} : C^{\infty}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{V}) \to C^{\infty}(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{g}_{-}^{*} \otimes \mathbb{V}), \ \nabla s(u)(X) = \omega^{-1}(X)(u) \cdot s.$ This operation **does not** transform sections of $\mathcal{V}M$ into sections of $\mathcal{V}M$, in general!

Nearly invariant calculus •000000

The derivatives (works for all Cartan connections!)

Invariant derivative

Similarly to covariant derivatives, we define the invariant derivative $\nabla^{\omega} : C^{\infty}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{V}) \to C^{\infty}(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{g}_{-}^{*} \otimes \mathbb{V}), \ \nabla s(u)(X) = \omega^{-1}(X)(u) \cdot s.$ This operation **does not** transform sections of $\mathcal{V}M$ into sections of $\mathcal{V}M$, in general!

Fundamental derivative

The extension of the invariant derivative to arguments $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $D^{\omega}: C^{\infty}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{V}) \to C^{\infty}(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathbb{V}), \quad \nabla s(u)(X) = \omega^{-1}(X)(u) \cdot s \in \mathbb{V}$ is and invariant differential operator $\mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}M \to \mathcal{V}M$ called the *fundamental derivative*.

The derivatives (works for all Cartan connections!)

Invariant derivative

Similarly to covariant derivatives, we define the invariant derivative $\nabla^{\omega} : C^{\infty}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{V}) \to C^{\infty}(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{g}_{-}^{*} \otimes \mathbb{V}), \ \nabla s(u)(X) = \omega^{-1}(X)(u) \cdot s.$ This operation **does not** transform sections of $\mathcal{V}M$ into sections of $\mathcal{V}M$, in general!

Fundamental derivative

The extension of the invariant derivative to arguments $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $D^{\omega}: C^{\infty}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{V}) \to C^{\infty}(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathbb{V}), \quad \nabla s(u)(X) = \omega^{-1}(X)(u) \cdot s \in \mathbb{V}$ is and invariant differential operator $\mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}M \to \mathcal{V}M$ called the *fundamental derivative*.

D^ω_{ξ+ζ}s = ∇^s_ξs + P^s(ξ) • s − ζ • s, where ξ a vector in TM, ζ a vertical vector on G. (here again – parabolic geometries)

The derivatives (works for all Cartan connections!)

Invariant derivative

Similarly to covariant derivatives, we define the invariant derivative $\nabla^{\omega} : C^{\infty}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{V}) \to C^{\infty}(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{g}_{-}^{*} \otimes \mathbb{V}), \ \nabla s(u)(X) = \omega^{-1}(X)(u) \cdot s.$ This operation **does not** transform sections of $\mathcal{V}M$ into sections of $\mathcal{V}M$, in general!

Fundamental derivative

The extension of the invariant derivative to arguments $X \in \mathfrak{g}$, $D^{\omega}: C^{\infty}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{V}) \to C^{\infty}(\mathcal{G}, \mathfrak{g}^* \otimes \mathbb{V}), \quad \nabla s(u)(X) = \omega^{-1}(X)(u) \cdot s \in \mathbb{V}$ is and invariant differential operator $\mathcal{A}^* \otimes \mathcal{V}M \to \mathcal{V}M$ called the *fundamental derivative*.

- $D^{\omega}_{\xi+\zeta}s = \nabla^s_{\xi}s + \mathsf{P}^s(\xi) \bullet s \zeta \bullet s$, where ξ a vector in *TM*, ζ a vertical vector on \mathcal{G} . (here again parabolic geometries)
- on all tractor bundles, the fundamental derivative is related to the invariant linear connection ∇^ν_ξ s = D^ω_ξs + ξ • s.

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Invariant jet operator - still for all Cartan connections

Both invariant and fundamental derivatives allow iteration!

Write $J^1 \mathbb{V}$ for the standard fiber $J^1(G \times_P \mathbb{V})_o$. Then $J^1 \mathcal{V} M = \mathcal{G} \times_P J^1 \mathbb{V}$ and $J^1 \mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V} \oplus (g_-^* \otimes \mathbb{V})$.

Invariant jet operator - still for all Cartan connections

Both invariant and fundamental derivatives allow iteration!

Write
$$J^1 \mathbb{V}$$
 for the standard fiber $J^1(G \times_P \mathbb{V})_o$. Then $J^1 \mathcal{V} M = \mathcal{G} \times_P J^1 \mathbb{V}$ and $J^1 \mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V} \oplus (g_-^* \otimes \mathbb{V})$.

1st order invariant jets

 $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{V}) \ni s \mapsto (s, \nabla^{\omega} s) \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{V} \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_{-}^{*} \otimes \mathbb{V}))$ defines the natural 1st order prolongation operator $\mathcal{V}M \to J^{1}\mathcal{V}M$.

Invariant jet operator - still for all Cartan connections

Both invariant and fundamental derivatives allow iteration!

Write
$$J^1 \mathbb{V}$$
 for the standard fiber $J^1(G \times_P \mathbb{V})_o$. Then $J^1 \mathcal{V} M = \mathcal{G} \times_P J^1 \mathbb{V}$ and $J^1 \mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V} \oplus (g_-^* \otimes \mathbb{V})$.

1st order invariant jets

 $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{V}) \ni s \mapsto (s, \nabla^{\omega} s) \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{V} \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_{-}^{*} \otimes \mathbb{V}))$ defines the natural 1st order prolongation operator $\mathcal{V}M \to J^{1}\mathcal{V}M$.

higher orders

 $\begin{array}{l} C^{\infty}(\mathcal{G},\mathbb{V})^{P} \ni s \mapsto \bar{j}_{\omega}^{k}s = (s,\nabla^{\omega}s,\ldots,(\nabla^{\omega})^{k}s) \in \\ C^{\infty}(\mathcal{G},\mathbb{V}\oplus\cdots\oplus(\otimes^{k}\mathfrak{g}_{-}^{*}\otimes\mathbb{V}))^{P} \text{ is the invariant rth order} \\ \text{prolongation operator valued in semi-holonomic jets } \bar{J}^{k}\mathcal{V}M. \end{array}$

・ロト ・西ト ・ヨト ・ヨー うらぐ

Invariant jet operator - still for all Cartan connections

Both invariant and fundamental derivatives allow iteration!

Write
$$J^1 \mathbb{V}$$
 for the standard fiber $J^1(G \times_P \mathbb{V})_o$. Then $J^1 \mathcal{V} M = \mathcal{G} \times_P J^1 \mathbb{V}$ and $J^1 \mathbb{V} = \mathbb{V} \oplus (g_-^* \otimes \mathbb{V})$.

1st order invariant jets

 $C^{\infty}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{V}) \ni s \mapsto (s, \nabla^{\omega} s) \in C^{\infty}(\mathcal{G}, \mathbb{V} \oplus (\mathfrak{g}_{-}^{*} \otimes \mathbb{V}))$ defines the natural 1st order prolongation operator $\mathcal{V}M \to J^{1}\mathcal{V}M$.

higher orders

$$\begin{array}{l} C^{\infty}(\mathcal{G},\mathbb{V})^{P} \ni s \mapsto \bar{j}_{\omega}^{k}s = (s,\nabla^{\omega}s,\ldots,(\nabla^{\omega})^{k}s) \in \\ C^{\infty}(\mathcal{G},\mathbb{V}\oplus\cdots\oplus(\otimes^{k}\mathfrak{g}_{-}^{*}\otimes\mathbb{V}))^{P} \text{ is the invariant rth order} \\ \text{prolongation operator valued in semi-holonomic jets } \bar{J}^{k}\mathcal{V}M. \end{array}$$

Note: Symmetrization provides similar formulae for holonomic jets $j_{\omega}^{k}s$, but these are **not equivariant**!

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Bianchi and Ricci identities

In terms of the invariant differnetial, the Bianchi identity reads

$$\sum_{\text{cycl}} \nabla_{Z}^{\omega} \kappa(X, Y) = \sum_{\text{cycl}} \left([\kappa(X, Y), Z] + \kappa([X, Y], Z) - \kappa(\kappa(X, Y), Z) \right)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

Bianchi and Ricci identities

In terms of the invariant differnetial, the Bianchi identity reads

$$\sum_{\mathsf{cycl}} \nabla^{\omega}_{Z} \kappa(X, Y) = \sum_{\mathsf{cycl}} \left([\kappa(X, Y), Z] + \kappa([X, Y], Z) - \kappa(\kappa(X, Y), Z) \right)$$

while the Ricci identity reads

$$abla^\omega_X
abla^\omega_Y s -
abla^\omega_Y
abla^\omega_X s =
abla^\omega_{[X,Y]} -
abla^\omega_{\kappa_-(X,Y)} s + \kappa_{\geq 0}(X,Y) ullet s$$

Bianchi and Ricci identities

In terms of the invariant differnetial, the Bianchi identity reads

$$\sum_{\mathsf{cycl}} \nabla^{\omega}_{Z} \kappa(X, Y) = \sum_{\mathsf{cycl}} \left([\kappa(X, Y), Z] + \kappa([X, Y], Z) - \kappa(\kappa(X, Y), Z) \right)$$

while the Ricci identity reads

$$\nabla^{\omega}_{X}\nabla^{\omega}_{Y}s - \nabla^{\omega}_{Y}\nabla^{\omega}_{X}s = \nabla^{\omega}_{[X,Y]} - \nabla^{\omega}_{\kappa_{-}(X,Y)}s + \kappa_{\geq 0}(X,Y) \bullet s$$

Note: also available in terms of the fundamental derivative.

Nearly invariant calculus

Back to parabolic geometries

The invariant derivative ∇^{ω} is just the covariant derivative of ω on A, restricted to L^- . Thus, $\nabla^{\omega} : \Gamma(\mathcal{V}M) \to \Gamma(\mathcal{V}A)$.



Back to parabolic geometries

The invariant derivative ∇^{ω} is just the covariant derivative of ω on A, restricted to L^- . Thus, $\nabla^{\omega} : \Gamma(\mathcal{V}M) \to \Gamma(\mathcal{V}A)$.

Choosing a Weyl structure *s*, the curvatures $T^s + R^s$ of the Cartan connection $(\theta^s + \gamma^s)$ on \mathcal{G}_0 and κ of ω on $\overline{s}(\mathcal{G}_0)$ compare as

$$T^{s} + R^{s} + Y^{s} + \partial \mathsf{P}^{s} = s^{*} \kappa$$

where $Y = d^{\nabla^s} P^s + P^s([\cdot, \cdot]) + [P^s, P^s]$ is the Cotton York tensor, and ∂P^s the Lie algebra cohomology differential.
Back to parabolic geometries

The invariant derivative ∇^{ω} is just the covariant derivative of ω on A, restricted to L^- . Thus, $\nabla^{\omega} : \Gamma(\mathcal{V}M) \to \Gamma(\mathcal{V}A)$.

Choosing a Weyl structure s, the curvatures $T^s + R^s$ of the Cartan connection $(\theta^s + \gamma^s)$ on \mathcal{G}_0 and κ of ω on $\overline{s}(\mathcal{G}_0)$ compare as

 $T^{s} + R^{s} + Y^{s} + \partial \mathsf{P}^{s} = s^{*} \kappa$

where $Y = d^{\nabla^s} P^s + P^s([\cdot, \cdot]) + [P^s, P^s]$ is the Cotton York tensor, and ∂P^s the Lie algebra cohomology differential. Looking at differential operators – affine invariants of the connecitons, i.e., Φ is G_0 -equivariant:



Main observations and theorems



Our Theorem (1) on the expansion can be reformulated as:

Theorem (2)

Each affine differential invariant $\tilde{\Phi}$: $\Gamma(\mathcal{V}A) \to \Gamma(\mathcal{W}A)$ on A, restricted to L^- , i.e., given by a G_0 -equivariant map Φ , can be expressed in a universal way by means of a G_0 -equivariant map Ψ , i.e. in terms of affine invariants of ∇^s and P^s .

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Main observations and theorems

And finally, the main theorem:

Theorem

Every differential invariant of the Weyl connections and Rho tensors, constructed from the affine invariants of ω on A as in Theorem (2) transforms algebraically in Υ . All affine invariants of Weyl connections and Rho tensors transforming algebraically in Υ are obtained this way.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

Main observations and theorems

And finally, the main theorem:

Theorem

Every differential invariant of the Weyl connections and Rho tensors, constructed from the affine invariants of ω on A as in Theorem (2) transforms algebraically in Υ . All affine invariants of Weyl connections and Rho tensors transforming algebraically in Υ are obtained this way.

The first implication might be surprising in view of the complicated transformation rules of the basic objects.

Main observations and theorems

And finally, the main theorem:

Theorem

Every differential invariant of the Weyl connections and Rho tensors, constructed from the affine invariants of ω on A as in Theorem (2) transforms algebraically in Υ . All affine invariants of Weyl connections and Rho tensors transforming algebraically in Υ are obtained this way.

The first implication might be surprising in view of the complicated transformation rules of the basic objects.

The proof of the second implication relies on (locally existing) special Weyl structures called normal - they mimic the concept of exponential coordinates in affine geometry. They enjoy the property that all symmetrized covariant derivatives of P^s vanish at the center of the coordinates.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 の�?

Thanks for attention and patience!