# On Language Inequalities $XK \subseteq LX$

Michal Kunc University of Turku

## Outline:

- 1. Proving regularity of maximal solutions
  - by constructing finite recognizers.
  - using well quasi-orders.
- 2. Systems with inequalities  $XK \subseteq LX$ .
- 3. Proof of non-regularity of the solution.

finite alphabet  $A = \{a, b, \dots\}$  $A^* \dots$  the monoid of finite words over Afinite set of variables  $\mathcal{V} = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$ 

### Explicit polynomial equations:

 $X_i = P_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n$  $P_i \subseteq (A \cup \mathcal{V})^*$  finite

components of smallest solutions ... context-free languages Example:  $X = \{c, aXb\} \implies X = \{a^k cb^k \mid k \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$ 

### Example: $X \cup Y = A^*$

every language is a component of a minimal solution

## Constructing finite recognizers

$$P_j \subseteq L_j$$
  
 $P_j \subseteq (A \cup \mathcal{V})^*$  arbitrary,  $L_j \subseteq A^*$  regular

### Conway 1971

maximal solutions:

- regular
- for given  $L_j$ : finitely many, computable
- for context-free  $P_j$ : algorithmically regular

Example:  $X \cdot Y \subseteq L$ 

 $\sim \ldots$  congruence of  $A^*$  of finite index recognizing L,

i.e.  $u \sim v \implies (u \in L \iff v \in L)$ 

Every solution contained in a solution recognized by  $\sim$ :  $M \cdot N \subseteq L \implies (M \sim) \cdot (N \sim) \subseteq L$ 

 $K_0 \cup K_1 X_1 \cup \cdots \cup K_n X_n \subseteq L_0 \cup L_1 X_1 \cup \cdots \cup L_n X_n$  $K_i$  arbitrary,  $L_i$  regular

#### MK 2005

largest solutions:

- regular
- for given  $L_i$ : finitely many, computable
- for context-free  $K_i$ : algorithmically regular

## Well quasi-orders

Wqo  $\leq$  on  $A^*$  contains neither  $\stackrel{\bullet}{\underset{i}{\stackrel{\bullet}{\stackrel{\bullet}{\stackrel{\bullet}{\stackrel{\bullet}{\quad}}}}}$  nor  $\bullet \bullet \cdots$ Monotone:  $u \leq v \& \tilde{u} \leq \tilde{v} \implies u\tilde{u} \leq v\tilde{v}$ 

Example: (scattered) subword partial order

Theorem:Ehrenfeucht, Haussler, Rozenberg 1983 $L \subseteq A^*$  is regular $\iff$ L is upward closed with respect to<br/>a monotone well quasi-order on  $A^*$ 

#### Example:

Congruence of finite index is a monotone wqo.

upward closed = recognized by the congruence

## Applying well quasi-orders to inequalities

### Method:

Construct a wqo on  $A^*$  such that every solution is contained in an upward closed solution.

## **Restriction on constants:**

 $P_j \subseteq Q_j$ 

 $P_j \subseteq (A \cup \mathcal{V})^*$  arbitrary

 $Q_j \dots$  regular expression over variables and languages recognizable by finite simple semigroups

L recognizable by a finite simple semigroup  $\iff$  minimal automaton of L does not contain



MK 2004: all maximal solutions regular

## Inequalities $XK \subseteq LX$

has largest solution:

 $M_i K \subseteq LM_i \implies (\bigcup M_i) K \subseteq L(\bigcup M_i)$ 

 $\boldsymbol{K}$  arbitrary,  $\boldsymbol{L}$  regular

### MK 2004

largest solution:

- regular
- for context-free K: algorithmically recursive

### Rules of the game

 $XK \subseteq LX$ 

 $\begin{array}{ll} \text{position:} & w \in A^* \\ \text{attacker:} & u \in K, w \longrightarrow wu \\ \text{defender:} & v \in L, wu = v \tilde{w}, wu \longrightarrow \tilde{w} \end{array}$ 

largest solution = all winning positions for the defender

Example:  $L = \{a, ab, abcde, bc, c, cd, da\}$ , w = abcd,  $\sim$  congruence of finite index recognizing L



## Quasi-ordering of labelled trees

 $w \leq v \dots$  defender's winning strategies for w can be used also for v



Largest solution is upward closed with respect to  $\leq$ 

Kruskal 1960:  $\leq$  is a wqo

## Systems with inequalities $XK \subseteq LX$

### Theorem 1:

There exists a finite language K and star-free languages L, M such that the largest solution of the system

$$XK \subseteq LX, \quad X \subseteq M$$

is not recursively enumerable.

### Theorem 2:

There exist finite languages K, P and star-free languages L, R such that the largest solution of the system

$$XK \subseteq LX, \quad XP \subseteq RX$$

is not recursively enumerable.

## Systems $XK \subseteq LX$ , $PX \subseteq XR$

#### MK 2005:

There exists a finite language L such that the largest solution of XL = LX is not recursively enumerable.

## System $XK \subseteq LX$ , $X \subseteq M$

largest solution S non-regular

 $M\ldots$  admissible positions of the game

### Alphabet:

 $B = \{a, b, c\}, \quad \hat{B} = \{\hat{a}, \hat{b}, \hat{c}\}, \quad A = B \cup \hat{B}$ 

Testing equality of two counters: simultaneous decrementation and zero test

Configurations:  $b\hat{b}(a\hat{a})^{m}c\hat{c}(a\hat{a})^{n}$  $m, n \dots$  values of the counters

#### Languages:

$$K = \{a\hat{a}, b\hat{b}, c\hat{c}\}$$

$$L = \{a\hat{a}, b\hat{b}a\hat{a}, c\hat{c}a\hat{a}, b\hat{b}c\hat{c}b\} \cup \hat{B}B$$

$$\cup cA^*a \cup bA^*a \cup A^+bA^*b \cup A^+cA^*c$$

$$M = (B\hat{B})^+ \cup \hat{B}$$

 $m < n \implies b\hat{b}(a\hat{a})^m c\hat{c}(a\hat{a})^n \notin S$ 

### By induction on m:

Basis: By contradiction:  $b\hat{b}c\hat{c}(a\hat{a})^n \in S \implies b\hat{b}c\hat{c}(a\hat{a})^n \cdot b\hat{b} \in SK \subseteq LS \subseteq LM$ 

#### Induction step:

Hypothesis:  $b\hat{b}(a\hat{a})^{m-1}c\hat{c}(a\hat{a})^{n-1}\notin S$  $b\hat{b}(a\hat{a})^m c\hat{c}(a\hat{a})^n \in S$ By contradiction:  $\underline{\underline{\hat{bbaa}}}^{\hat{a}}(a\hat{a})^{m-1}c\hat{c}(a\hat{a})^{n}\cdot b\hat{b}$  $(a\hat{a})^{m-1}c\hat{c}(a\hat{a})^nb\hat{b}\in S$  $\sqrt{m-1}$  times  $(a\hat{a})^{m-1}c\hat{c}(a\hat{a})^n b\hat{b} \cdot (a\hat{a})^{m-1}$  $\bigvee m-1$  times  $c\hat{c}(a\hat{a})^n b\hat{b}(a\hat{a})^{m-1} \in S$  $b\hat{b}(a\hat{a})^{m-1}c\hat{c}(a\hat{a})^{n-1} \in S$ 

# $b\hat{b}(a\hat{a})^n c\hat{c}(a\hat{a})^n \in S$

$$\begin{split} u_{n,k} &= (a\hat{a})^k b\hat{b}(a\hat{a})^n c\hat{c}(a\hat{a})^{n-k} \\ v_{n,k} &= (a\hat{a})^k c\hat{c}(a\hat{a})^{n+1} b\hat{b}(a\hat{a})^{n-k} \\ u_{n,0} &\longrightarrow v_{n-1,n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow v_{n-1,0} \longrightarrow \\ & \longrightarrow u_{n-1,n-1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow u_{n-1,0} \\ N &= \hat{B} \cup \{u_{n,k}, v_{n,k} \mid 0 \leq k \leq n\} \text{ is a solution} \\ NK &\subseteq LN: \quad \hat{B}K \subseteq \hat{B}B \cdot \hat{B} \subseteq LN \\ k > 0 \implies u_{n,k} \cdot a\hat{a} = a\hat{a} \cdot u_{n,k-1} \in LN \\ u_{n,0} \cdot a\hat{a} \in bA^*a \cdot \hat{B} \subseteq LN \\ n > 0 \implies u_{n,0} \cdot b\hat{b} = b\hat{b}a\hat{a} \cdot v_{n-1,n-1} \in LN \\ u_{0,0} \cdot b\hat{b} = b\hat{b}c\hat{c}b \cdot \hat{b} \in LN \\ k > 0 \implies u_{n,k} \cdot b\hat{b} \in A^+bA^*b \cdot \hat{B} \subseteq LN \end{split}$$

## Together:

1. 
$$m < n \implies b\hat{b}(a\hat{a})^m c\hat{c}(a\hat{a})^n \notin S$$

2.  $b\hat{b}(a\hat{a})^n c\hat{c}(a\hat{a})^n \in S$ 

Pumping lemma  $\implies$  S is not regular.

## System $XK \subseteq LX$ , $XP \subseteq RX$

$$\begin{split} \tilde{A} &= A \cup \{d\} \\ N \subseteq \tilde{A}^* \text{ such that } \hat{a} \in N \\ N \text{ is a solution of } XK \subseteq LX, X \subseteq M \iff \\ N \text{ is a solution of } XK \subseteq LX, X d\hat{a} \subseteq M dX \end{split}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{Proof of } &\longleftarrow : \end{aligned}$$

 $NK^n \subseteq L^n N$  for arbitrarily large  $n \implies N \subseteq A^*$  $Nd\hat{a} \subseteq MdN \implies N \subseteq M$ 

### **Open questions**

 Regularity of solutions of similar systems of inequalities, for instance:

$$\begin{split} KXL &\subseteq MX \\ XK &\subseteq LX, \ XK &\subseteq MX \\ KX &\subseteq LX, \ XM &\subseteq XN \end{split}$$

2. Existence of algorithms for computing largest solutions.