EVALUATION DMS

The structure of the evaluation remains the same as in previous employee evaluation periods. However, more emphasis is given to objective indicators that are key to the funding of the DMS. Thus, the emphasis in the evaluation is mainly on publications in journals with IF and on the amount of teaching (especially the number of hours actually taught). This also determines the weights of the 5 areas: 0.3 teaching (formerly "classroom education"); 0.1 individual education; 0.3 research intensity; 0.1 research impact; 0.2 other. The purpose of the staff evaluation is to establish a clear link to the determination of the amount of performance premium (in INET called variable bonus). The description below is always linked to the main characteristic observed, but other recorded information is of course also considered. The job classification of the employee is always taken into account.

Additional information:

In case of any discrepancies, Czech version of this document is pertinent.

Grants earned by employees are reflected in the target bonuses, with the transition to a change in personal evaluation independent of the current evaluation, i.e., grants are not directly reflected in the evaluation.

For the work of the study programme guarantor, a regular monthly bonus not included in the personal evaluation is due, i.e. this activity is also not directly reflected in the evaluation.

TEACHING (weight 0.3) - the emphasis is on data from IS (courses taught, number of teaching hours, survey)

0	1	2	3	4
No teaching at all	Teaching below	Teaching	Teaching	Combination of
or significantly	the scope of the	appropriate to	significantly	at least 3 out of 4
below the scope	position (e.g., 12	the position and	beyond the	cases in a grade
of the job (e.g., 4	hours per week	predominantly	position (1),	of 3, no
hours per week	for a lecturer) or	positive	extremely high	negatives, the
for an assistant	predominantly	evaluation	quality teaching	number of
professor)	negative		(2), challenging	students and the
	evaluation		innovation (3),	form of the
			key service	examinations are
			teaching (4)	also taken into
				account

Number of teaching hours per week for academic staff:

Lecturer - 17 hours on average (range 14-20).

Assistant Professor - 10 hours on average (range 8-12).

Associate Professor - 8 hours on average (range 6-10).

Professor - 6 hours on average (range 4-8).

INDIVIDUAL EDUCATION (weight 0.1) - emphasis is placed on IS data (especially the number of students enrolled)

0	1	2	3	4
No (or negligible)	Lower activity in	Activity	Activity	Exceptional
supervision or	individual work	corresponding to	significantly	performance,
opposition of	with students	the scope of the	exceeding the	completely
final theses (e.g.,	(e.g. 3	position (average	usual numbers of	outside the
1 supervised and	supervised, of	number and	individually	employee's
1 opposed	which 2	quality of theses)	supervised	position
bachelor thesis in	defended, theses		students	
three years)	in three years)			

EVALUATION DMS

RESEARCH INTENSITY (weight 0.3) - emphasis is placed on data from IS (articles in journals with IF, including their classification into D1, Q1, Q2, Q3, Q4 according to IF, AIS, which is provided by the evaluator, i.e., the director, himself)

0	1	2	3	4
No or rare	Occasional	Regular	Significant	Intensive
publication in	publication in	publication (more	publications (on	publication in
journals with IF	journals with IF	than 1 article per	average more	journals with IF
(e.g., 1 article in a	(e.g., 2-3 co-	year on average)	than 2 articles	with a large
journal with IF in	authored articles	in journals with	per year) in	number of
three years)	in journals with IF	IF, including high	journals with IF,	articles in the
	in three years)	quality journals	including the	most prestigious
			most prestigious	journals
			journals	

RESEARCH IMPACT (weight 0.1) - emphasis is placed on current citations without self-citations (all required values, such as h-index, can be provided by the evaluator, i.e., the director, without the help of the evaluatee from the WoS and Scopus databases)

0	1	2	3	4
No or rare	Occasional	Regular citations	Significant	Extreme numbers
citations (without	citations (without	(without self-	number of actual	of actual citations
self-citations) on	self-citations) on	citations) on WoS	citations (without	(without self-
WoS (e.g., 2	WoS (e.g., 6	(e.g., 10 citations	self-citations) on	citations) on WoS
citations in 3	citations in three	in three years)	WoS beyond the	beyond the field
years)	years)		field	

OTHER (weight 0.2) - this refers to the employee's work for the DMS outside of teaching and research

0	1	2	3	4
working duties activit	antial work ty outside ing and rch	Time-consuming duties outside teaching and research (e.g., a combination of duties)	A major contribution to the management of the Department, working independently on a substantial agenda	Duties that are completely outside the scope of the previous categories

EVALUATION DMS

INDICATIVE FINANCIAL EVALUATION

Below is an indicative allocation of the amount of the performance premium depending on the rating given.

TEACHING

0	1	2	3	4
0 CZK	3 000 CZK	6 000 CZK	12 000 CZK	18 000 CZK

INDIVIDUAL EDUCATION

0	1	2	3	4
0 CZK	1 000 CZK	2 000 CZK	4 000 CZK	6 000 CZK

RESEARCH INTENSITY

0	1	2	3	4
0 CZK	3 000 CZK	6 000 CZK	12 000 CZK	18 000 CZK

RESEARCH IMPACT

0	1	2	3	4
0 CZK	1 000 CZK	2 000 CZK	4 000 CZK	6 000 CZK

OTHER

0	1	2	3	4
0 CZK	2 000 CZK	4 000 CZK	8 000 CZK	12 000 CZK

E.g. an employee with the rating of

TEACHING - 2, INDIVIDUAL EDUCATION - 1, RESEARCH INTENSITY - 3, RESEARCH IMPACT - 4, OTHER - 0

should expect that his/her performance premium will not differ dramatically from the amount

6 000 CZK + 1 000 CZK + 12 000 CZK + 6 000 CZK + 0 CZK = 25 000 CZK,

i.e., the sum of the amounts

TEACHING - 6 000 CZK, INDIVIDUAL EDUCATION - 1 000 CZK, RESEARCH INTENSITY - 12 000 CZK, RESEARCH IMPACT - 6 000 CZK, OTHER - 0 CZK.

In 2024, DMS employees will be made familiar with the assessment described above. Unless there has been a significant change from the previous evaluation, they cannot expect a (significant) change in their personal evaluation in that year. Any change in the personal evaluation according to the above criteria will only take place after the next evaluation.